

# Open Research Online

---

The Open University's repository of research publications and other research outputs

## Maximal buttonings of trees

### Journal Item

How to cite:

Short, Ian (2014). Maximal buttonings of trees. *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*, 34(2) pp. 415–420.

For guidance on citations see [FAQs](#).

© 2013 The Author



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/>

Version: Accepted Manuscript

Link(s) to article on publisher's website:  
<http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.7151/dmgt.1716>  
<http://www.discuss.wmie.uz.zgora.pl/gt/>

---

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's data [policy](#) on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

---

[oro.open.ac.uk](http://oro.open.ac.uk)

4 MAXIMAL BUTTONINGS OF TREES

5 IAN SHORT<sup>1</sup>

6 *Department of Mathematics and Statistics*  
7 *The Open University*  
8 *Milton Keynes MK7 6AA*  
9 *United Kingdom*

10 **e-mail:** ian.short@open.ac.uk

11 **Abstract**

12 A *buttoning* of a tree that has vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  is a closed walk that  
13 starts at  $v_1$  and travels along the shortest path in the tree to  $v_2$ , and then  
14 along the shortest path to  $v_3$ , and so forth, finishing with the shortest path  
15 from  $v_n$  to  $v_1$ . Inspired by a problem about buttoning a shirt inefficiently,  
16 we determine the maximum length of buttonings in trees.

17 **Keywords:** Centroid, graph metric, tree, walk, Wiener distance.

18 **2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary: 05C05, 05C38;  
19 Secondary: 05C85.

20 1. INTRODUCTION

21 At the retirement meeting of Jenny Piggott as director of the mathematics edu-  
22 cation project NRICH, Bernard Murphy proposed the following problem (para-  
23 phrased).

24 **Problem 1.** My shirt has eight buttons in a vertical line with a spacing of one  
25 unit between each adjacent pair. Usually I button them from top to bottom,  
26 so that my hands move a distance of seven units. Suppose I button them in a  
27 different order; what is the maximum number of units my hands may travel?

In this partly expository note we address the more general problem of identifying, for each finite tree  $T$  with graph metric  $d$ , the maximum value of the sum

$$d(v_1, v_2) + d(v_2, v_3) + \dots + d(v_{n-1}, v_n) + d(v_n, v_1) \quad (1)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The author thanks Jozef Širáň for helpful suggestions.

among all lists  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  of the vertices of  $T$ . Problem 1 is a particular case of this more general problem when  $T$  is the linear graph of order 8. (To be precise, we must remove the final term  $d(v_n, v_1)$  from (1) to recover Problem 1, but we shall see that this is an insignificant complication.) Our problem is itself a special case of the maximum travelling salesman problem. To see this, observe that the sum (1) is the length of a Hamilton cycle in the weighted complete graph that has vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  and has, for each distinct pair  $i$  and  $j$ , an edge of weight  $d(v_i, v_j)$  between  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ .

All trees throughout the paper are finite. Further,  $T$  will always denote a tree with graph metric  $d$ . We denote by  $V_T$  the vertex set of  $T$ . Let  $[u, v]$  denote the unique shortest path from one vertex  $u$  to another vertex  $v$  in  $T$ . A *buttoning* of  $T$  is a closed walk in  $T$  consisting of  $n$  paths  $[v_1, v_2], [v_2, v_3], \dots, [v_{n-1}, v_n], [v_n, v_1]$ , where  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  are the vertices of  $T$ . The *length* of this buttoning is the sum (1). A *centroid* of  $T$  is a vertex  $v$  such that the sum  $\sum_{u \in V_T} d(v, u)$  is minimized. Each tree has either one centroid or two adjacent centroids. Given a centroid  $v$  we define

$$\Phi(T) = 2 \sum_{u \in V_T} d(v, u).$$

The theory of centroids is covered briefly in [1, Section 1] and [2, Section 3]. The authors of [1] emphasise the importance of centroids in distance calculations, and our work supports this assertion. We can now state our main theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Given a tree  $T$  with vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  we have*

$$2n - 2 \leq d(v_1, v_2) + d(v_2, v_3) + \dots + d(v_{n-1}, v_n) + d(v_n, v_1) \leq \Phi(T), \quad (2)$$

and the upper and lower bounds are each attained by the lengths of certain buttonings of  $T$ .

The lower inequality in (2) has been proven already, in [4, Theorem 1] (including proof that the lower bound is attainable). There are results of a similar nature to Theorem 2 in [3].

A *maximal buttoning* of a tree  $T$  is a buttoning of maximum length  $\Phi(T)$ . When  $T$  is the linear tree of order 8, the two middlemost vertices of  $T$  are both centroids, and one can check that  $\Phi(T) = 32$ . We show in Lemma 5 that you can choose  $d(v_n, v_1) = 1$  in a maximal buttoning of such a tree, and so the solution to Problem 1 is 31.

The quantity  $\Phi(T)$  is closely related to the *Wiener distance*  $W(T)$ , which is given by  $W(T) = \sum_{a, b \in V_T} d(a, b)$ . It is known (see, for example, [2]) that, among trees of order  $n$ ,  $W(T)$  is minimized when  $T$  is the star with  $n$  vertices and  $W(T)$  is maximized when  $T$  is the linear graph with  $n$  vertices. The same is true of  $\Phi(T)$ , and we state this as a theorem (which is easily proven). Let  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denote the integer part of a real number  $x$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If  $T$  is a tree of order  $n$  then*

$$2n - 2 \leq \Phi(T) \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2} n^2 \rfloor. \quad (3)$$

Furthermore, the lower bound is attained when  $T$  is a star and the upper bound is attained when  $T$  is a linear graph.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Theorem 2 concerns the maximum and minimum lengths of buttonings of a tree  $T$  of order  $n$ . Let us briefly summarize the proof from [4, Theorem 1] of the lower bound in (2). Because a buttoning is a closed walk that visits every vertex, each edge must be traversed at least twice, and this proves that each buttoning has length at least  $2n - 2$ . To see that this lower bound can be attained, between any two adjacent vertices in  $T$  introduce a new edge. By ‘opening out’ the resulting graph to form a cycle it is straightforward to construct a buttoning of  $T$  of length  $2n - 2$ . The remainder of this section concerns the upper bound of (2).

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $[v_1, v_2], [v_2, v_3], \dots, [v_{n-1}, v_n], [v_n, v_1]$  be a buttoning of a tree  $T$ . Then*

$$d(v_1, v_2) + d(v_2, v_3) + \dots + d(v_{n-1}, v_n) + d(v_n, v_1) \leq \Phi(T),$$

with equality if and only if each centroid of  $T$  is contained in every path  $[v_i, v_{i+1}]$  (including  $[v_n, v_1]$ ).

**Proof.** Let  $v$  be a centroid of  $T$  and let  $v_{n+1} = v_1$ . Then the triangle inequality gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d(v_i, v_{i+1}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (d(v_i, v) + d(v, v_{i+1})) = \Phi(T).$$

Equality is attained in this inequality if and only if  $d(v_i, v_{i+1}) = d(v_i, v) + d(v, v_{i+1})$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . This occurs if and only if  $v$  is contained in each path  $[v_i, v_{i+1}]$ . ■

We must now prove that the upper bound  $\Phi(T)$  in (2) can always be attained. We deal separately with trees that contain two centroids and trees that contain just one centroid. It is an old result of C. Jordan (see [2, Theorem 1]) that a tree with two centroids  $u$  and  $v$  has even order  $2k$ , and there is an edge connecting  $u$  and  $v$  which, once removed, leaves two disconnected subtrees  $U$  and  $V$  each of order  $k$ , where  $u$  is a leaf of  $U$  and  $v$  is a leaf of  $V$ . We use this notation in the next lemma.

**Lemma 5.** *Suppose that a tree  $T$  has two centroids  $u$  and  $v$  and corresponding subtrees  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$  and  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ . Then the buttoning  $[u_1, v_1], [v_1, u_2], [u_2, v_2], \dots, [v_k, u_1]$  of  $T$  is a maximal buttoning, and all maximal buttonings arise in this fashion.*

**Proof.** By Lemma 4, each buttoning  $[u_1, v_1], [v_1, u_2], [u_2, v_2], \dots, [v_k, u_1]$  is a maximal buttoning because the paths  $[u_i, v_i]$  and  $[v_i, u_{i+1}]$  all contain  $u$  and  $v$ . Furthermore, in any buttoning  $[w_1, w_2], [w_2, w_3], \dots, [w_{2k-1}, w_{2k}], [w_{2k}, w_1]$  not of this form there must be two consecutive vertices  $w_i$  and  $w_{i+1}$  that both lie in  $U$ , in which case  $[w_i, w_{i+1}]$  does not contain  $v$ , and so, by Lemma 4, the buttoning is not maximal. ■

All the maximal buttonings of  $T$  are described explicitly in Lemma 5, so we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.** *A tree  $T$  that has two centroids and is of order  $2k$  has  $2(k!)^2$  maximal buttonings.*

Next we turn to trees with a single centroid. A preliminary lemma is needed.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$ , where  $m \geq 2$ , be a collection of disjoint finite sets such that  $\sum_{i \neq j} |X_i| \geq |X_j|$  for each  $j$ . Then we can list the elements  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  of  $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_m$  in such a way that no two consecutive terms  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$  both lie in the same set  $X_j$ .*

**Sketch of proof.** Remove the elements of  $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_m$  one by one and place them in the sequence  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ , each time choosing the element  $v_i$  from a set  $X_j$  of largest current size (excluding the set  $X_k$  from which  $v_{i-1}$  was chosen). When  $m = 2$ , this strategy clearly gives a suitable list. When  $m > 2$ , the strategy preserves the inequality  $\sum_{i \neq j} |X_i| \geq |X_j|$  (until only two elements, in two distinct sets  $X_j$ , remain), and hence eventually exhausts the sets  $X_j$ . ■

If a tree  $T$  has a single centroid  $v$ , then removing  $v$  from  $T$ , and removing all edges connected to  $v$ , leaves a number of disconnected subtrees of  $T$ , say  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$ . Again, it was proven by C. Jordan (see [2, Theorem 1]) that no one of these subtrees has order larger than the sum of the orders of all the others; in other words  $\sum_{i \neq j} |X_i| \geq |X_j|$  for each  $j$ . We use this notation in the next lemma.

**Lemma 8.** *Suppose that a tree  $T$  has a single centroid  $v_0$ , and removing  $v_0$  and its edges from  $T$  leaves disconnected subtrees  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$ . Then we can label the vertices of  $T \setminus \{v_0\}$  as  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  in such a way that no pair  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$  both lie in the same set  $X_j$ , and  $[v_0, v_1], [v_1, v_2], \dots, [v_{n-1}, v_n], [v_n, v_0]$  is a maximal buttoning of  $T$ .*

114 **Proof.** Lemma 7 shows that it is possible to choose the vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  in  
 115 the described fashion, and, because each path  $[v_i, v_{i+1}]$  passes through  $v_0$ , we see  
 116 from Lemma 4 that the resulting buttoning is maximal. ■

117 In fact, Lemma 4 shows that all maximal buttonings of  $T$  are of the form  
 118 described in Lemma 8, up to cyclic permutations of the paths  $[v_i, v_{i+1}]$  in the but-  
 119 toning  $[v_0, v_1], [v_1, v_2], \dots, [v_{n-1}, v_n], [v_n, v_0]$ . In contrast to Corollary 6, however,  
 120 there does not appear to be a simple general formula for the number of maximal  
 121 buttonings.

122 We proved in Lemma 4 that the length of a buttoning of a tree  $T$  is less  
 123 than or equal to  $\Phi(T)$ , and Lemmas 5 and 8 show that this bound can always be  
 124 attained. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

### 125 3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

126 The concept of a buttoning extends to all finite connected graphs, and we finish  
 127 with brief remarks about extremal buttoning lengths in this more general context.

128 From (2), a buttoning of a tree of order  $n$  has length at least  $2n - 2$ . For  
 129 more general connected graphs of order  $n$ , however, the lower bound for buttoning  
 130 lengths is  $n$ , rather than  $2n - 2$ . This is because every buttoning has  $n$  constituent  
 131 paths each of length at least 1, which implies that the total length is at least  $n$ .  
 132 Furthermore, the lower bound of length  $n$  is achieved by any buttoning of the  
 133 complete graph of order  $n$ .

134 On the other hand, by (3), a buttoning of a tree of order  $n$  has length at  
 135 most  $\lfloor \frac{1}{2} n^2 \rfloor$ , and this is also an upper bound for the length of a buttoning of a  
 136 graph of order  $n$ . This is because the length of a buttoning of a graph is less than  
 137 or equal to the length of the same buttoning on a spanning tree of the graph.  
 138 It follows that among connected graphs of order  $n$ , the linear graph has the  
 139 largest maximal buttoning length. In particular, the maximal buttoning length  
 140 in Problem 1 remains 31 even when we rearrange the eight buttons to form a  
 141 more general connected graph.

### 142 REFERENCES

- 143 [1] C. A. Barefoot, R. C. Entringer and L. A. Székely, *Extremal values for ratios*  
 144 *of distances in trees*, Discrete Appl. Math. **80** (1997) 37–56.
- 145 [2] A. A. Dobrynin, R. Entringer and I. Gutman, *Wiener index of trees: theory*  
 146 *and applications*, Acta Appl. Math **66** (2001) 211–249.
- 147 [3] L. Johns and T. C. Lee, *S-distance in trees*, in: Computing in the 90's  
 148 (Kalamazoo, MI, 1989), Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 507, ed(s), N. A.  
 149 Sherwani, E. de Doncker and J. A. Kapenga (Springer, Berlin, 1991) 29–33.

- 150 [4] T. Lengyel, *Some graph problems and the realizability of metrics by graphs*,  
151 Congr. Numer. **78** (1990) 245–254.